United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

November 04, 2021
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JAIME CISNEROS,	§	
Petitioner,	§ §	
VS.	§ C	EIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-212
	§	
BOBBY LUMPKIN, DIRECTOR, TDCJ,	§	•
	§	
Respondent.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie Hampton's Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R). (D.E. 4). The M&R recommends that the Court dismiss the petition pursuant to the screening provisions in Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. (D.E. 1; D.E. 4).

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP*, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court ADOPTS the M&R in its

entirety. (D.E. 4). Accordingly, the Court **DISMISSES** Cisneros's habeas corpus petition pursuant to the screening provisions in Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. (D.E. 1). A final judgment will be entered separately.

SO ORDERED.

DAVID'S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas November 37, 2021